Markus Grasmair

Computational Science Center, University of Vienna, Austria

April 13, 2010

Markus Grasmair Sparse Regularization with Non-convex Regularization Terms

化氯化 化氯

# Outline

### Sparse Regularization

- Quadratic Regularization
- Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

## 2 Well-Posedness

### 3 Convergence Rates

- Rates for Quadratic Regularization
- Rates for Non-convex Regularization

## 4 Summary



Let U, V be Hilbert spaces and  $F: U \rightarrow V$  bounded linear. Consider the approximative solution of the operator equation

$$F(u) = v$$

for given  $v \in V$ .

If the operator equation is ill-posed (e.g. if  $F: U \rightarrow V$  is compact), regularization is necessary: search for  $u_{\alpha} \in U$  minimizing

$$\mathcal{T}(u; \alpha, v) := \|Fu - v\|^2 + \alpha \mathcal{R}(u)$$

with regularization functional  $\mathcal{R}: U \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and regularization term  $\alpha > 0$ .

(4月) (4日) (4日)

—Sparse Regularization

Quadratic Regularization

# Quadratic Regularization

#### Consider

$$\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{v}) := \| \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \|_{\boldsymbol{V}}^2 + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \| \boldsymbol{u} \|_{\boldsymbol{U}}^2.$$

Original setting by Tikhonov:  $U = W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ . Regularization term enforces *smoothness* (differentiability) of the approximate solutions.

In some applications (signal / image processing), smoothness no realistic assumption / no important property.

Recent idea: instead of smoothness, try to enforce sparsity with respect to a given basis / frame / subset.

(4月) (4日) (4日)



Let  $(\phi_i)_{i\in I} \subset U$  be some bounded family. Find

$$u=\sum_{i\in I}u_i\phi_i\in U$$

with

 $Fu \approx v$ 

such that (weak sense)

$$\#\{i \in I : |u_i| \gg 0\}$$
 is small

or (strong sense)

$$\#\{i \in I : u_i \neq 0\}$$
 is finite.

直 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

—Sparse Regularization

Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

Sub-quadratic Regularization

Let U be a Hilbert space and  $(\phi_i)_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis. Then

$$||u||_U^2 = \sum_i |u_i|^2$$

Idea: Obtain (weak) sparsity by replacing quadratic regularization with sub-quadratic regularization:

Consider

$$\mathcal{R}(u) := \sum_i |u_i|^p \qquad ext{with } 1 \leq p < 2 \;.$$

Stronger penalization of small coefficients, weaker penalization of large coefficients.

Minimizer of Tikhonov functional will be in  $\ell^p$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Sparse Regularization

Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

Sparsity by Differentiability

Consider  $(\phi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  Fourier-basis of  $L^2(0,2\pi)$ . Then

$$||u'||^2 = \sum_k k^2 |u_k|^2$$

Reverse Hölder inequality:

$$\sum_{k} k^{2} |u_{k}|^{2} \geq \left(\sum_{k} k^{-2q}\right)^{-1/q} \left(\sum_{k} |u_{k}|^{2p}\right)^{1/p}$$

with 0 , <math>q > 0, and 1/p - 1/q = 1. Therefore:

$$\|u'\|^2 < +\infty \implies (u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{2/3+\varepsilon}$$

But: "Scale dependent sparsity pattern."

Sparse Regularization

Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

Non-convex Regularization

Achieve sparsity in the strong sense by choosing

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = \sum_i |u_i|^p$$
 with  $0 .$ 

More general setting:

$$\mathcal{R}(u) := \sum_i w_i \phi(u_i)$$

with

$$w_i > w_{\min} \ge 0$$
 and  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty]$ .

Conditions for this to make sense?

3 1 4 3

# Outline

#### Sparse Regularization

- Quadratic Regularization
- Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

## 2 Well-Posedness

### 3 Convergence Rates

- Rates for Quadratic Regularization
- Rates for Non-convex Regularization

### 4 Summary

4 3 b

## Important Properties

#### Existence:

 $\mathcal{T}(\cdot; \alpha, v)$  attains a minimizer for every  $\alpha > 0$  and  $v \in V$ .

### Stability:

If 
$$y^{(k)} \to y$$
 and  $u_{\alpha}^{(k)} \in \arg \min \mathcal{T}(\cdot; \alpha, v^{(k)})$  then  
 $u_{\alpha}^{(k)} \to u_{\alpha} \in \arg \min \mathcal{T}(\cdot; \alpha, v)$ .

#### **Convergence:**

If 
$$\|v^{\delta} - v^{\dagger}\| \leq \delta \to 0$$
,  $\alpha \to 0$  and  $\delta^2/\alpha \to 0$ , then  
arg min  $\mathcal{T}(\cdot; \alpha, v^{\delta}) = u_{\alpha}^{\delta} \to u^{\dagger} = \arg\min\{\mathcal{R}(u) : Fu = v^{\dagger}\}$ 

.

4 B 6 4 B

## Lower Semi-continuity

Direct method of the calculus of variations:

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is weakly lower semi-continuous and coercive, then a minimizer exists.

Recall:

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = \sum_i w_i \phi(u_i) \; .$$

#### Lemma

The following are equivalent:

- The functional  $\mathcal R$  is lower semi-continuous.
- The functional  $\mathcal R$  is weakly lower semi-continuous.
- The function  $\phi$  is lower semi-continuous.

## Coercivity

Recall:  $\mathcal{R}$  is coercive, if  $||u|| \to \infty$  implies  $\mathcal{R}(u) \to \infty$ .

#### Lemma

Assume that there exists C > 0 with

$$\phi(t) \geq \frac{Ct^2}{1+t^2}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\phi(t)=+\infty\;.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is coercive.

If  $\sup_i w_i < +\infty$ , then also the converse holds.

If  $\sup_i w_i = +\infty$ , weaker growth of  $\phi$  is possible.

ヨン・イヨン

# Radon-Riesz Property

Under the natural assumptions introduced above, the functional  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the *Radon–Riesz* property:

#### Lemma

Let the assumptions for lower semi-continuity and coercivity be satisfied. If  $(u^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \ell^2$  converges weakly to  $u \in \ell^2$  and  $\mathcal{R}(u^{(k)}) \to \mathcal{R}(u) < +\infty$ , then  $\|u^{(k)} - u\| \to 0$ .

Important property for deducing stability and convergence in norm topology.

A (B) A (B) A (B) A

## Well-posedness

#### Theorem

Assume that the following hold:

• The function  $\phi$  is lower semi-continuous and  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

• 
$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\phi(t)=+\infty$$

• There exists C > 0 with

$$\phi(t) \geq rac{Ct^2}{1+t^2} \; .$$

Then Tikhonov regularization with  $\mathcal{R}(u) = \sum_{i} w_i \phi(u_i)$  is well-posed, stable, and convergent.

Here: stability and convergence in sub-sequential sense.

# Necessary Conditions for Sparsity

#### Lemma

Assume that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\phi(t) \geq rac{C|t|}{1+|t|} \; .$$

Then every local minimizer of  $\mathcal{T}(\cdot; \alpha, v)$  is sparse in the strong sense.

In a sense:

Sparsity of solution  $\iff$  Non-differentiability of  $\phi$  at 0.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Convergence Rates

# Outline

### Sparse Regularization

- Quadratic Regularization
- Regularization with Non-convex Functionals

## 2 Well-Posedness

### 3 Convergence Rates

- Rates for Quadratic Regularization
- Rates for Non-convex Regularization

### 4 Summary

4 3 b

Convergence Rates

└─ Rates for Quadratic Regularization

# Convergence Rates for Quadratic Regularization

Quantitative estimate of the quality of the regularized solutions:

Let  

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = ||u||^2 \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Ran} F^*$$
If  

$$\delta \sim \alpha, \qquad ||v^{\delta} - v^{\dagger}|| \leq \delta \to 0,$$
and  

$$u_{\alpha}^{\delta} \in \arg\min_{u} \mathcal{T}(u; \alpha, v^{\delta}),$$
then

$$\|u^\delta_lpha-u^\dag\|=O(\sqrt{\delta})\qquad ext{ as }\delta o 0 \;.$$

3 1 4 3

Convergence Rates

Rates for Non-convex Regularization

## Infinite Growth at Zero

Recall: lower Dini derivatives of  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty]$  defined as

$$egin{split} D_+\phi(t) &= \liminf_{arepsilon o 0^+} rac{\phi(t+arepsilon)-\phi(t)}{arepsilon}\,, \ D_-\phi(t) &= \liminf_{arepsilon o 0^-} rac{\phi(t+arepsilon)-\phi(t)}{arepsilon}\,. \end{split}$$

In particular:

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm \phi(\mathsf{0}) = \pm \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \phi$$
 has infinite growth near zero .

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Convergence Rates

Rates for Non-convex Regularization

# Convergence Rates for Sparse Regularization

#### Define

$$\operatorname{supp}(u^{\dagger}) := \left\{ i \in I : u_i^{\dagger} \neq 0 \right\}$$
.

#### Theorem

Assume that the following hold:

• 
$$D_{\pm}\phi(0) = \pm \infty$$
.

- $u^{\dagger}$  is the unique  $\mathcal{R}$ -minimizing solution of  $Fu = y^{\dagger}$ .
- $u^{\dagger}$  is sparse and F is injective on  $\ell^2(\operatorname{supp}(u^{\dagger}))$ .

If  $\alpha \sim \delta$  we have

$$\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}-u^{\dagger}\|=O(\delta)$$
 .

伺下 イヨト イヨ

Convergence Rates

Rates for Non-convex Regularization

# Restricted Injectivity

Uniqueness of  $u^{\dagger}$  necessary for obtaining any convergence rates (else: convergence to different minimizers).

In non-convex case, uniqueness of  $u^{\dagger}$  stronger condition than restricted injectivity:

If 
$$\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$
 and  $\phi''(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ , then:

Uniqueness of  $u^{\dagger} \implies$  Injectivity of *F* on supp $(u^{\dagger})$ .

More general: same implication holds, if  $\phi$  is locally concave on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Summary

# Summary

 General setting for (componentwise) Tikhonov regularization on l<sup>2</sup>:

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = \sum_i w_i \phi(u_i) \; .$$

- Necessary and sufficient conditions for well-posedness (existence, stability, convergence) only in terms of  $\phi$ .
- Sparsity for sufficiently fast growth at zero.
- Linear convergence rates for infinite growth at zero if minimizer is unique.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト